DOCKET SECTION

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

RATE AND SERVICE CHANGES TO IMPLEMENT BASELINE NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION Docket No.: MC2007-1

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

Volume: 1

Date:

March 14, 2007

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Pages:

1 through 13

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 628-4888

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)		
)		
RATE AND SERVICE CHANGES TO	}	Docket No.: N	4C2007-1
IMPLEMENT BASELINE NEGOTIATED)		
SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH)		
BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION)		

Suite 200 Postal Regulatory Commission 901 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Wednesday, March 14, 2007

The above-entitled matter came on for a prehearing conference pursuant to notice, at 10:03 a.m.

BEFORE:

HON. DAN G. BLAIR, CHAIRMAN HON. RUTH Y. GOLDWAY, COMMISSIONER HON. TONY L. HAMMOND, COMMISSIONER HON. MARK D. ACTON, COMMISSIONER

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of United States Postal Service:

MATTHEW J. CONNOLLY, Esquire ANTHONY ALVERNO, Esquire United States Postal Service 475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W., Room 6606 Washington, D.C. 20260-1135 (202) 268-8582 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)

On behalf of the Office of the Consumer Advocate:

SHELLEY S. DREIFUSS, Esquire EMMETT RAND COSTICH, Esquire Postal Regulatory Commission Office of the Consumer Advocate 901 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20268 (202) 789-6837

On behalf of Bank of America Corporation:

DAVID H. LEVY, Esquire RICHARD YOUNG, Esquire Sidley Austin, LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 736-8214

On behalf of Valpak Dealers Association, Inc. and Valpak Direct Marketing Systems, Inc.:

WILLIAM J. OLSON, P.C. JOHN S. MILES, Esquire William J. Olson, P.C. 8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 1070 McLean, Virginia 22102-3823 (703) 356-5070 Fax: (703) 356-5085

On behalf of American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO:

JENNIFER WOOD, Esquire O'Donnell, Schwartz & Anderson, P.C. 1300 L Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20005-4126 (202) 898-1707

1	PRQCEEDINGS
2	(10:03 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Good morning, everyone.
4	ALL: Good morning.
5	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: I'm Dan Blair. I'm the
6	chairman of the Postal Regulatory Commission, and I'm
7	pleased to welcome everyone here this morning.
8	This is a prehearing conference in Docket
9	Number MC-2007-1 considering a request by the United
10	States Postal Service to implement a negotiated
11	service agreement with the Bank of America
12	Corporation.
13	With me this morning are Commissioners Mark
14	Acton, Ruth Goldway, and Tony Hammond.
15	At this point, I would like to ask the
16	counsel to identify themselves for the record.
17	American Postal Workers Union?
18	MS. WOOD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
19	Jennifer Wood for the American Postal Workers Union.
20	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear
21	you.
22	MS. WOOD: Jennifer Wood for the American
23	Postal Workers Union.
24	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you. GrayHair
25	Software, Inc.

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: For the record, there is no
3	counsel representing them present at this time.
4	Newspaper Association of America.
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: No response. Office of the
7	Consumer Advocate, Postal Regulatory Commission.
8	MS. DREIFUSS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman
9	and Commissioners. I'm Shelley Dreifuss with the
10	Office of Consumer Advocate. With me today is Rand
11	Costich.
12	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thanks, Shelley.
13	Is David Popkin present?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: The record will reflect
16	David Popkin is not present.
17	The United States Postal Service.
18	MR. CONNOLLY: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
19	My name is Matthew Connolly on behalf of the United
20	States Postal Service. With me is Anthony Alverno.
21	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you.
22	Valpak Dealers Association, Incorporated.
23	MR. MILES: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and
24	Members of the Commission. John Miles on behalf of
25	the Valpak Companies: Valpak Direct Marketing

Systems, Inc., and Valpak Dealers Association, Inc. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: You will be representing 3 both interests. MR. MILES: Yes, sir. 4 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you. Is there anyone 5 6 I have missed this morning? Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 7 MR. LEVY: Members of the Commission. David Levy for Bank of 8 America Corporation. Also with me is my colleague, 9 Richard Young of the same law firm, Sidley Austin. 10 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you. 11 12 Notwithstanding these introductions, for the record, I ask that during the hearings counsel 13 identify themselves for the reporter before speaking. 14 On Friday, March 9th, Order No. 6 granted a 15 Postal Service motion requesting permission to convene 16 a settlement conference after today's prehearing 17 The Postal Service expressed the hope conference. 18 that such a conference would enable participants to 19 narrow issues and better evaluate whether hearings 20 might be necessary. 21 Mr. Alverno? 22 MR. ALVERNO: Mr. Chairman, we intend to 23 convene a settlement conference after this prehearing 24 conference, and we thank you for the use of this room. 25

1	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you. If you could
2	please provide the Commission with a written report by
3	the close of business, Friday, March 16th, detailing
4	any progress made on narrowing the issues in this
5	case. Participants have already submitted discovery
6	on a number of topics related to the proposed
7	negotiated service agreement. Please include in your
8	report an estimate of how much additional time parties
9	will need for such discovery.
10	I expect the Commission to issue a limited
11	number of information requests over the course of the
12	next few days.
13	Does any participant wish to raise any issue
14	for consideration this morning?
15	MS. DREIFUSS: Mr. Chairman, Shelley
16	Dreifuss from the OCA.
17	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Shelley.
18	MS. DREIFUSS: OCA does have a concern about
19	one issue, at this time. I don't know whether,
20	ultimately, our concerns will be alleviated. We're
21	concerned about the source of the information for the
22	accept-and-read rate that is used as a key for some of
23	the discounts under this NSA.
24	We got an answer from the Postal Service on
25	Friday telling us that the source of the data from

R2006-1 is from a library reference, L-110, and we've 1 2 now tracked back those numbers. Some of them go back to MODS data from Fiscal Year 99. Some of the data 3 goes back even older than MODS data from R97-1. 4 5 So I think we're talking about data, at least, 11 years' old, and I'm quessing that the Postal 6 Service must have improved its ability to read and accept barcoded pieces at this time. This is very old 8 9 data. 10 So we are still trying to see what the original dates were that the data were obtained and 11 also trying, at the present time, to get more recent 12 data. We have some outstanding interrogatories asking 13 for recent data. We just don't know how that's going 14 15 to turn out. So I would say that that's the one issue 16 that we've identified that we do have concerns about. 17 Those concerns, as I say, they could be alleviated by 18 current, reliable data. If our concerns are not 19 relieved, it's conceivable that we might need to 20 cross-examine a witness about it, possibly even file 21 just a very limited piece of testimony from this 22 23 office. As far as discovery is concerned, you 24 haven't mentioned that yet. Do you want us to give 25

1	you an idea of how much more we felt we might need, or
2	is that going to come later?
3	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: We'll be establishing a
4	procedural case, so we'll be waiting on that further.
5	MS. DREIFUSS: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Did the Postal Service
7	counsel service wish to respond?
8	MR. CONNOLLY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would
9	like to take those issues in reverse order, if I may.
10	First, with regard to the period for
11	discovery, we believe that, since there are few
12	parties in the case, and we feel that the issues are
13	fairly straightforward, that the Commission will be
14	able to resolve this case in short order.
15	We would propose, therefore, that a
16	discovery period of two weeks be established for this
17	particular case.
18	However, with regard to the OCA's concern
19	about the data being used, I believe we'll have to
20	consult with our witness in the case to find out what
21	is the most current data, but we would be happy to
22	provide you with information on that as soon as
23	possible.
24	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Okay. As I said earlier,
25	we will be establishing a procedural schedule for thi

1	case, and we will be awaiting receipt of the
2	settlement conference report from the Postal Service.
3	So, at this point, if there is not any
4	further discussion
5	MS. DREIFUSS: Mr. Chairman, actually, there
6	is one point. The Postal Service has actually
7	proposed a specific length of time for discovery. I
8	think Mr. Connolly was saying two weeks from today.
9	Four our purposes, and particularly with respect to
10	this one issue, we don't think that would be
11	sufficient.
12	We received the answers on Friday, pointing
13	us to a library reference in 2006-1. We have now
14	traced it back pretty far. I think we can get some
15	interrogatories out by Friday of this week. What we
16	would propose instead is normally we would be
17	expecting answers in two weeks, but the Postal Service
18	has been running late with its answers. We've been
19	getting answers between one and three days late.
20	So I would say we would be looking at
21	answers to our Friday interrogatories two weeks from
22	Friday. I think we should allow another 10 days
23	beyond that to be able to ask more about these
24	numbers, if we have such questions. If it looks like
25	we don't have any, we'll let you know, and discovery

1	can end earlier.
2	MR. LEVY: May I be heard, Mr. Chairman?
3	My understanding, my recollection, is that
4	the discovery cutoff is for initial discovery and does
5	not serve to bar follow-up discovery, and it's
6	certainly not our intent, and I don't think it's the
7	Postal Service's intent, to prevent OCA from pursuing
8	its concerns about the provenance of the data on the
9	read-accept rates.
10	I think it would probably make most sense to
11	do what the Commission had originally intended, to
12	wait to hear from us from the report on the settlement
13	conference before setting a discovery cutoff because
14	it's not in the interest of the applicants to try to
15	cut off the discovery rights of OCA or anyone else
16	about issues that they have already teed up, like this
17	one. We want them to be satisfied so that we don't
18	have testimony, and we don't have cross-examination,
19	and we want to work with them to make sure that that
20	occurs.
21	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Any further discussion at
22	this point? Counsel?
23	MR. CONNOLLY: Mr. Chairman, this is Matthew
24	Connolly. I want to add to the statements that have
25	already been made that follow-up discovery that is

1	based on the questions that have already been
2	submitted in the case is allowed following the close
3	of the discovery period.
4	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Okay.
5	MS. DREIFUSS: I might as well go ahead and
6	respond to that. I don't really want to nitpick.
7	Let me just point out, though, that quite
8	often we wind up in discovery disagreements with the
9	Postal Service when we see answers. If they don't
LO	think that our follow-up questions are strictly, to-
L1	the-letter follow-up, sometimes you see an answer, and
L2	it triggers new ideas. That's the reason we're asking
L3	for a more open-ended discovery period because we may
L 4	see something in the answers that isn't strictly a
L5	follow-up to a specific question we thought of
L6	originally.
L7	That's why I'm just trying to give us just a
18	little bit more time for those kinds of things that
L9	are spurred by answers that we couldn't have
20	anticipated beforehand.
21	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Well, at this point, we're
22	going to be looking for the procedural schedule, and
23	we're going to be waiting the receipt of the
24	settlement conference report from the Postal Service,
25	and any further rulings will be forthcoming from the

```
1
      Chair.
                 So if there is nothing further, the
2
      conference will be adjourned this morning. Thank you
3
      all for coming, and I appreciate it very much.
4
                 (Whereupon, at 10:14 a.m., the prehearing
5
      conference in the above-entitled matter was
6
7
      concluded.)
      //
8
      11
 9
      11
10
      11
11
      //
12
      11
13
      11
14
      11
15
      11
16
      11
17
18
       11
      //
19
       //
20
       11
21
22
       //
       11
23
       11
24
       11
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

DOCKET NO .: MC7007-1

CASE TITLE: Rate and Service Changes to Implement Bascline CASE TITLE: Registrated Service Agreement with Bank of America, Corporation

HEARING DATE: 3/14/07

LOCATION: Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Postal Regulatory Guimission

Date: 3/14/67

Official Reporter Heritage Reporting Corporation Suite 600 1220 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-4018